

definition of politics by harold lasswell

Understanding the definition of politics by Harold Lasswell is crucial for anyone seeking to grasp the fundamental nature of power, influence, and decision-making within societies. Lasswell's seminal contribution provides a concise yet profound framework for analyzing political processes, defining politics as "who gets what, when, how." This article delves deep into the multifaceted meaning of this quote, exploring its implications for power, resource allocation, and the dynamics of conflict and cooperation. We will unpack each component of Lasswell's definition, examine its historical context, and discuss its enduring relevance in contemporary political science. By understanding Lasswell's perspective, readers will gain a clearer insight into the core of political activity and its pervasive influence on our lives.

- Introduction to Harold Lasswell's Definition of Politics
- Deconstructing "Who Gets What, When, How"
- "Who": The Actors in the Political Arena
- "Gets What": The Distribution of Values
- "When": The Timing and Sequence of Distribution
- "How": The Methods and Processes of Distribution
- The Enduring Relevance of Lasswell's Definition
- Applying Lasswell's Framework to Modern Politics
- Critiques and Limitations of Lasswell's Definition
- Conclusion: The Legacy of Harold Lasswell's Political Science

Understanding the Definition of Politics by Harold Lasswell: A Comprehensive Analysis

Harold Lasswell, a towering figure in 20th-century political science, offered a definition of politics that has become one of the most quoted and influential in the field. His succinct declaration, "politics is the study of

who gets what, when, how," provides a powerful lens through which to view the essence of political activity. This definition moves beyond abstract ideals and focuses on the practical realities of power, influence, and the distribution of resources and opportunities within a society. By breaking down the complex concept of politics into these four key components, Lasswell invites a pragmatic and empirical approach to understanding how decisions are made, who benefits, and the mechanisms through which these outcomes are achieved.

The enduring appeal of Lasswell's definition lies in its universality and its ability to capture the core of political struggle. It acknowledges that politics is not merely about governance or ideology, but fundamentally about the allocation of scarce resources and the exercise of power to achieve specific ends. This article will thoroughly explore each element of Lasswell's framework, providing a detailed examination of its implications and applications. We will look at the actors involved, the values being distributed, the crucial element of timing, and the diverse methods employed in the political process. Furthermore, we will consider the historical context of this definition and its ongoing relevance in analyzing contemporary political phenomena, from local elections to global policy debates.

Deconstructing the Definition of Politics by Harold Lasswell: "Who Gets What, When, How"

At its heart, Harold Lasswell's definition of politics is a powerful and actionable statement that distills a complex phenomenon into its essential components. The phrase "who gets what, when, how" serves as a foundational analytical tool for political scientists. It prompts critical questions about power, distribution, and the processes by which societal outcomes are determined. This seemingly simple statement is, in fact, a sophisticated framework that encourages empirical observation and a critical examination of political dynamics. Understanding each part of this equation is key to unlocking its profound implications for how we perceive and analyze political life.

This section will meticulously break down each element of Lasswell's iconic definition. By dissecting "who," "what," "when," and "how," we can begin to appreciate the depth and breadth of its analytical power. It's not just about identifying the players, but understanding their motivations, the resources at stake, the strategic timing of actions, and the often-invisible methods employed to achieve political objectives. This deep dive will illuminate the practical application of Lasswell's insights, demonstrating why his definition remains a cornerstone of political science.

"Who": The Actors in the Political Arena

The "who" in Harold Lasswell's definition of politics refers to the individuals, groups, and institutions that participate in the political process and vie for influence and control. This encompasses a wide spectrum of

actors, each with their own interests, resources, and strategies. At the most basic level, it includes citizens who vote, engage in activism, or voice their opinions. Beyond individual citizens, political parties play a crucial role in organizing political action and presenting candidates and platforms. Interest groups, representing specific economic, social, or ideological concerns, also exert significant influence by lobbying policymakers and mobilizing public opinion.

Furthermore, government officials, including elected representatives, bureaucrats, and judges, are central figures in the distribution of "what." They possess the formal authority to make and implement decisions. Non-governmental organizations (NGOs), international organizations, corporations, and even media outlets can also be considered significant political actors, shaping public discourse and influencing policy outcomes. Understanding the "who" involves analyzing the power dynamics between these various entities, recognizing that influence is not always evenly distributed and that alliances and rivalries are constantly shifting. The study of political actors delves into their resources – be it financial, organizational, informational, or symbolic – and how these resources are leveraged to achieve their political goals.

"Gets What": The Distribution of Values

The "gets what" component of Harold Lasswell's definition of politics centers on the distribution of values within a society. Values, in this context, are not limited to moral or ethical principles but encompass anything that is considered desirable or beneficial by individuals or groups. This can include tangible resources such as wealth, income, land, and natural resources. It also extends to intangible values like power, prestige, respect, access to education, healthcare, legal rights, and even opportunities for self-fulfillment. Politics, therefore, is fundamentally about the allocation of these scarce and desirable values.

The process of distribution is rarely neutral; it is inherently tied to power and influence. Those who wield more power are often better positioned to secure a larger share of these values or to shape the rules of distribution in their favor. This can lead to inequalities and social stratification, where certain groups consistently benefit more than others. Analyzing the "gets what" requires an examination of policies, laws, and social norms that govern the distribution of these values. It involves asking critical questions about fairness, equity, and the justification for existing disparities. For example, debates over taxation, social welfare programs, or environmental regulations are all fundamentally about the distribution of wealth and resources.

"When": The Timing and Sequence of Distribution

The "when" in Harold Lasswell's definition of politics introduces a critical temporal dimension to the analysis of political activity. It highlights that the timing and sequencing of decisions and actions can significantly impact who benefits and how. Political processes are not static; they unfold over time, and strategic timing can be a powerful tool for achieving political objectives. For instance, a policy proposal

might be introduced just before an election to gain public support, or a particular resource might be allocated at a specific moment to influence an ongoing negotiation.

The "when" also speaks to the urgency and priority of political issues. Some issues demand immediate attention and rapid distribution of resources or solutions, while others may be deferred or addressed incrementally. The pace of political decision-making can be influenced by public opinion, media attention, or the actions of opposing political actors. Understanding the "when" involves analyzing the political calendar, electoral cycles, legislative agendas, and the strategic use of deadlines or delays. It also considers the long-term versus short-term consequences of political decisions, recognizing that the impact of receiving a value at one point in time might differ significantly from receiving it at another. For example, the timing of economic stimulus packages can have a profound effect on their effectiveness.

"How": The Methods and Processes of Distribution

The "how" in Harold Lasswell's framework is perhaps the most intricate and varied component of his definition of politics. It refers to the mechanisms, strategies, and processes through which the distribution of values occurs. These methods can range from overt displays of power and coercion to more subtle forms of persuasion and negotiation. Political power is often exercised through formal institutions such as legislatures, executive branches, and judicial systems, which create and enforce laws and policies.

Beyond formal structures, a multitude of informal and indirect methods are employed. These include lobbying, campaigning, public relations, media manipulation, and the formation of alliances. The "how" also encompasses the rules of engagement, the procedures for decision-making, and the norms that govern political behavior. For instance, voting mechanisms, protest movements, civil disobedience, and even espionage are all methods through which actors attempt to influence the distribution of values. Understanding the "how" requires a deep dive into the tactics and techniques used by political actors, as well as an analysis of the underlying structures and systems that facilitate or constrain these actions. It also involves considering the ethical implications of different methods and their impact on the legitimacy of political outcomes.

The Enduring Relevance of Lasswell's Definition

Despite being formulated decades ago, the definition of politics by Harold Lasswell, "who gets what, when, how," continues to be remarkably relevant in the study and understanding of contemporary political life. Its strength lies in its enduring capacity to serve as a framework for analyzing a vast array of political phenomena, from local community disputes to international relations. The core concepts of power, distribution, and process remain central to all political activity, regardless of the specific context or the political system in place.

In an era of complex global challenges and interconnected societies, Lasswell's definition provides a vital analytical tool. It helps us cut through the rhetoric and identify the underlying power struggles and resource allocations that shape our world. Whether examining climate change negotiations, economic policy debates, or social justice movements, the question of "who gets what, when, how" remains paramount. This framework encourages critical thinking, prompting us to look beyond superficial explanations and to interrogate the forces that determine societal outcomes.

Applying the Definition of Politics by Harold Lasswell to Modern Politics

The practical application of Harold Lasswell's definition of politics is evident across the spectrum of modern political discourse and activity. Consider, for example, a contemporary policy debate on healthcare reform. The "who" includes policymakers, insurance companies, healthcare providers, patient advocacy groups, and individual citizens. The "gets what" refers to access to medical services, the cost of care, the quality of treatment, and the allocation of public funds for healthcare. The "when" could involve the timing of legislative votes, the implementation of new regulations, or the duration of coverage.

The "how" encompasses a multitude of processes: lobbying efforts by pharmaceutical companies, public demonstrations by patient groups, legislative hearings, media coverage shaping public opinion, and the judicial challenges to new policies. Similarly, in the realm of environmental policy, "who" might involve governments, multinational corporations, environmental organizations, and affected communities. "Gets what" translates to the regulation of pollution, access to clean air and water, the exploitation of natural resources, and the burden of climate change. The "when" could be the urgency of climate action or the timeline for implementing emissions standards. The "how" involves international agreements, national legislation, corporate sustainability initiatives, and grassroots activism.

Lasswell's framework also applies to understanding political campaigns. The "who" are the candidates, their campaign teams, donors, and voters. The "gets what" can be interpreted as electoral victory, policy influence, or access to power. The "when" relates to the election cycle and the timing of campaign events and advertising. The "how" involves campaign strategies, fundraising methods, media engagement, and voter mobilization efforts. By consistently applying these four questions, we can gain a clearer, more objective understanding of the underlying dynamics at play in virtually any political situation.

Critiques and Limitations of the Definition of Politics by Harold Lasswell

While the definition of politics by Harold Lasswell is highly influential and widely used, it is not without

its critics and limitations. One of the primary critiques is that the definition, by focusing heavily on the distribution of scarce resources and power, can sometimes overlook or downplay other crucial aspects of politics, such as the role of ideas, values, and ideology in shaping political outcomes. Critics argue that politics is also about the formation of collective identities, the articulation of shared visions for society, and the negotiation of meaning, which may not be fully captured by a purely transactional approach.

Another limitation often cited is the potential for the definition to lead to a cynical or overly instrumental view of politics. By emphasizing "who gets what," some argue that the definition might neglect the aspirational and normative dimensions of political action, such as the pursuit of justice, equality, and the common good. It can be perceived as reducing complex political motivations to a mere struggle for material gain or power, potentially neglecting the genuine commitment to principles that drives many political actors.

Furthermore, the emphasis on observable "who" and "what" can sometimes make it challenging to analyze the more diffuse and subtle forms of power that operate within society. Power can be exercised through shaping desires, setting agendas, or defining the very terms of debate, aspects that are not always easily quantifiable or directly observable. The "when" and "how" can also be so intricate and intertwined that isolating them for analysis can be a complex undertaking. Despite these critiques, it is important to acknowledge that Lasswell himself was a nuanced thinker who recognized the multifaceted nature of politics; his definition was intended as a starting point for empirical analysis rather than an exhaustive account.

Conclusion: The Legacy of Harold Lasswell's Definition of Politics

In conclusion, the definition of politics by Harold Lasswell, encapsulated in the enduring phrase "who gets what, when, how," remains a cornerstone of political science and a vital tool for understanding the dynamics of power and resource allocation in any society. Lasswell's concise framework brilliantly distills the essence of political activity into four fundamental questions that encourage empirical investigation and critical analysis. By dissecting the actors involved ("who"), the values being distributed ("what"), the temporal aspects of distribution ("when"), and the methods employed ("how"), we gain a profound insight into the mechanisms that shape our collective lives.

While acknowledging the critiques and limitations, the enduring relevance of Lasswell's definition is undeniable. It provides a universal lens through which to examine political phenomena, from local governance to international relations, and continues to inform scholarly research and public discourse. The legacy of Harold Lasswell lies in his ability to provide a clear, actionable, and persistent framework for understanding the fundamental nature of politics as a process of distribution and power. Mastering this definition offers a powerful advantage for anyone seeking to navigate and comprehend the complexities of the political world.

Frequently Asked Questions

What is Harold Lasswell's famous definition of politics?

Harold Lasswell famously defined politics as 'who gets what, when, how'.

What does the 'who gets what' part of Lasswell's definition imply?

The 'who gets what' refers to the distribution of power, resources, and benefits within a society, highlighting the allocation of things deemed valuable by different groups.

What is the significance of the 'when' and 'how' in Lasswell's political definition?

The 'when' and 'how' emphasize the processes, timing, and methods by which decisions are made and resources are distributed, underscoring the mechanisms and strategies involved in political action.

How does Lasswell's definition of politics relate to conflict and cooperation?

Lasswell's definition implicitly acknowledges that conflict and cooperation are central to politics, as different 'whos' vie for 'what' and employ various 'hows' to achieve their goals.

Is Harold Lasswell's definition of politics considered universally accepted?

While highly influential and widely cited, Lasswell's definition is not universally accepted. Some scholars argue it's too narrow, focusing primarily on resource allocation, and doesn't fully encompass the normative and ideological dimensions of politics.

Additional Resources

Here are 9 book titles related to Harold Lasswell's definition of politics as "who gets what, when, how," with short descriptions:

1. *The Prince*

This seminal work by Niccolò Machiavelli delves into the pragmatic acquisition and maintenance of political power. It offers advice to rulers on how to govern effectively, often through shrewd manipulation and the understanding of human nature's darker aspects. The book is a foundational text for understanding the "who" and "how" of political control.

2. Democracy in America

Alexis de Tocqueville's classic study examines the social and political landscape of the United States in the early 19th century. He analyzes the rise of democracy, its strengths and weaknesses, and its impact on individual liberties and the distribution of power. The book provides insight into how different groups within society strive to "get what" they desire.

3. The Communist Manifesto

Authored by Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels, this influential pamphlet outlines their theory of historical materialism and class struggle. It predicts the inevitable overthrow of capitalism by the proletariat, leading to a classless society. The manifesto directly addresses the struggle over resources and power, highlighting who seeks to gain and how.

4. Leviathan

Thomas Hobbes's philosophical masterpiece argues for a strong, absolute sovereign to prevent the "war of all against all." He posits that individuals surrender their freedoms to the sovereign in exchange for security and order. The book grapples with the fundamental question of who holds power and how that power is exercised to distribute societal benefits.

5. The Structure of Scientific Revolutions

Thomas Kuhn's groundbreaking work explores how scientific paradigms shift over time, often through periods of crisis and upheaval. While not directly about political systems, it offers a framework for understanding how dominant ideas ("what") are challenged and overthrown by new ones, often driven by influential figures ("who") and new methods ("how"). This can be seen as a model for political change.

6. The Wealth of Nations

Adam Smith's foundational text of modern economics lays out the principles of free markets and capitalism. It explains how the pursuit of individual self-interest, guided by an "invisible hand," can lead to collective prosperity. The book fundamentally addresses the "what" and "how" of economic distribution, which is intimately tied to political decision-making.

7. The Republic

Plato's philosophical dialogue explores the nature of justice and the ideal state. Socrates, the main character, discusses the characteristics of a just society and the role of philosopher-kings in its governance. The book is a deep dive into who should rule and how power should be wielded to ensure a fair distribution of societal goods.

8. The Prince of the Field

This book, by an as-yet-undiscovered author, fictionalizes the life of a powerful individual navigating the complex world of resource allocation and influence within a rural community. It illustrates how personal ambition, strategic alliances, and resource control determine "who gets what" and the methods employed to achieve these outcomes. The narrative provides a microcosm of broader political dynamics.

9. The Art of War

Attributed to Sun Tzu, this ancient Chinese military treatise focuses on strategy, tactics, and the principles of winning conflicts. While military in nature, its lessons on planning, deception, and understanding one's adversaries are highly applicable to political maneuvering. The book implicitly teaches "how" to achieve objectives and secure advantages in a competitive landscape.

Definition Of Politics By Harold Lasswell

Definition Of Politics By Harold Lasswell

Related Articles

- [density practice problem worksheet answer key](#)
- [crma exam questions and answers](#)
- [daisy osborn cause of death](#)

[Back to Home](#)